basic organization and documentation

adam okulicz-kozaryn

`adam.okulicz.kozaryn@gmail.com`

this version: Thursday 4th October, 2018   18:29

outline

outline

datasets of the day

⬦ climate! (easy access!)

· https://wonder.cdc.gov/EnvironmentalClimateData.html

⬦ religion!

· http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Files/Descriptions/RCMSCY10.asp

· http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Files/Descriptions/RCMSCY.asp

· http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Files/Descriptions/CMS90CNT.asp

· http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Files/Descriptions/CMS52CNT.asp

· more: http://www.thearda.com/Archive/Browse_s.asp?pg=

  Browse_s.asp&sr=0&m=31&t=Search%20Data%20Archive&

  searchterms=county&p=B&c=N

⬦ state level policy http:

  //ippsr.msu.edu/public-policy/correlates-state-policy

outline

replication again

$\diamond$ have a dofile that produces final results from raw data

$\diamond$ always keep raw data intact

$\diamond$ then manipulate it and save again, even several times

$\diamond$ at the end of your project you may end up

$\cdot$ with several datasets at different levels of advancement

$\diamond$ then you may begin your stata session at any level

many ways to do it

◇ I am just giving an example of how I do it; but see:

◇ Scott Long "The Workflow of Data Analysis Using Stata"

· I do not like his way!

· no one's way is the best way

· whatever floats your boat

◇ but always have it, be consistent

· and give it some thought!

always have it!

◇ directory structure probably seems to you unnecessary

◇ but trust me, it is useful, just get in habit of having it

◇ you will see it's useful, once you start doing merging and outputing tables and graphs

◇ without directory structure, it'll get messy

◇ the more complex the project, the more important the directory structure

◇ in this class, try to make the project as complicated as possible

it's automatic! **automate and standarize rules**

$\diamond$ as discussed earlier, Stata can create directories and move files around

$\diamond$ so just have a generic dofile with a preamble

· `clear`, `version`, `set more off`, etc

· and a bunch of `cap mkdir` to create dir structure

$\diamond$ if I start a new project, I just start with my template

$\diamond$ also, standardization is good!

$\diamond$ it makes you move faster, you're on "autopilot"

· it frees your mind to do more interesting things

$\diamond$ and it is easier to spot things that are out of normal

$\diamond$ so standardize and automate as much as possible

(more about this later in theory.pdf)

files in general <mark>**singularity rule**</mark>

◇ organize dofiles and datafiles in folders

◇ **always one version of a dofile or datafile in one place**
(see 'singularity' principle in theory.pdf)

◇ if you have 2 versions of the same file

· sooner or later there will be problems!

· you will update/change one, but forget the other one, etc

◇ exception is backup; but you never edit the backup!

◇ and you may and should keep historical record of your files

◇ mark it clearly, and always have only one current
(working) file

◇ again, all that is best done and automatic in GIT

code in general `singularity rule`

◇ just like with files, so with code:

◇ **have the same chunk of code only in one place**

◇ if you have code that does the same thing multiple times
   (in same or many dofiles)

◇ then it is time to build some hierarchy and have

◇ some parent and some child dofiles

◇ typically, a parent will do something basic and generic

◇ and then different children will pick up the data from
   parent and each will be doing something differently

these rules are necessary!

◇ standardization helps: just doing things in the same way

· it's faster and easier to spot mistakes

◇ and singularity helps–just do it one time!

· say you work with GSS

· then just manipulate it into the shape you need once and for all

· then use it for all the other projects in your lifetime

· well, of course you'll make some updates

· but they're small and just in one file

hierarchy of dofiles

$\diamond$ an example when having many dofiles is useful is when you use the same data for many projects

$\diamond$ this happens more often than it doesn't

$\diamond$ it makes sense to have one dofile that makes data ready

$\cdot$ it processes raw data and saves it in usable format

$\cdot$ and then always start from there

$\diamond$ again, you always want to start from the very raw data

$\cdot$ so just include at the beginning of each project

do datMan.do

$\cdot$ and then do your project specific analysis

hierarchy of dofiles

◇ always extract common chunks into one file

◇ typically there will be one (parent) file

· doing general data management for each dataset

◇ say you use GSS for multiple projects,

· typically for each project, you have to first do same things to get data usable

· recode, label, calculate new vars, etc

◇ then just have a "root" directory for that dataset

· and then each project will start with data from that root directory and do project specific-things

◇ otherwise, if you have multiple files doing the same things

◇ it will get mixed up!

datafiles

◇ never overwrite the original datafile, and a good idea to keep datafiles at different stage of advancement

· especially if data are complex:

· rawFile− >file1− >file2 –and those are produced by: dofile0− >dofile1− >dofile2 (or subsequent sections in one dofile!)

◇ and again dofile0 will be common for all projects

◇ but there may be for project A abd B: dofile1A and dofile1B

◇ in other words one parent dofile0 will have 2 children: dofile1A and dofile1B

◇ likewise, rawFile will have 2 different children file1A and

the one dofile to rule them all

◇ if you have a complicated project you may want to have many dofiles

◇ still you want to have a master dofile that runs them

· "the one dofile to rule them all"

branching

◇ not only dofies and datafiles have parents

· whole projects do!

◇ usually a project spins off other projects

◇ then you may want to clearly mark who is a parent
  and who is a child (for record keeping)

◇ and start a new project folder and directory structure
  for each new one

backup

$\diamond$ **backup all files at least once a week**–computers break regularly; flash drives break really often

$\diamond$ have automatic system for backups (i use cron)

$\cdot$ otherwise you'll forget

$\diamond$ backup to remote places!

$\cdot$ if your backup hd is in the same physical place

$\cdot$ then in case of fire, flooding, burglary, etc

$\cdot$ the backup is gone!

<u>outline</u>

sections, subsections

◇ especially for beginners, one dofile would do

◇ (again, later, when you have multiple projects from same data, extract common code to one parent)

◇ dofile should have a multi-layerd structure

· eg chapters, sections, subsections etc (like a paper or book)

◇ it is useful to mark large chunks of code, eg "datMan"

· i do it in my code

◇ for different levels, use different kinds of comments: box, block, one line, horizontal line, etc

type them in dofiles and scroll down to already existing

now i just use '***', '**', '*', '//'

outline

general

◇ naming and labeling looks like waste of time

◇ but at the end saves time

◇ labels are like "postit" notes

◇ importantly, it prevents mistakes/misinterpretations

· especially, if a project is big and/or you share it with others

· or if it takes long time

variable names, labels, and value labels

◇ variable name is...a variable name, eg educ

◇ var lab describes var, eg "highest degree completed"

◇ value label describes values that a variable takes on

· (output of `codebook`, or `tab` and `tab,nola`), eg:

· "primary school" 1

· "high school" 2

· "college or university" 3

◇ `dofile`

labels tips

◇ give variables short names, eg inc

◇ labels, on the other hand should be descriptive, eg "2004 hh income"

◇ labels prevent confusion later and for others

◇ they automatically appear on graphs, regressions, etc.

◇ use `lookfor`, especially if you have many variables

◇ be lazy (remember it's our core value)

· only label what is necessary

· indeed, only keep data and variables that are necessary

· you have the code, so you can always add back in later

more tips on var names

◇ i dont like '_' anymore

◇ i just use Caps to denote words, eg

◇ hhInc as opposed to hh_inc; i guess it's cleaner

◇ and typicaly i have 3 letter var namees 'swb'

◇ or 6 letter that combine 2 words: say menHea for mental
health

◇ but do whatever is natural to you!

· and is simple clean and consistent